8264-95

G. R. S. IX-5)

Previous references. 1895-96. 192 (L R)

File No 148-95

Serial Nos 1-7

Later references.

p 23

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 1-7

In ~~Part~~ B for December 1895

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Nanjamma regarding the Shanbhogi of Hanigere, Malavalli Taluk.

Contents

Interest

WD 223 -20,000—11-6-26

A

Appeal No 43 of 89-90

Appellant: Ramjanmma

Respondent: Hanumanlalji

Decide regarding the Standing of Manager in the Mandali Bank

List of English papers

(1) Appeal petition
(2) Copy of Dy Commrs order x
(3) Proceedings of the Dy Commrs court
(4) Official Memorandum
(5) Dy Commrs letter.
(6) Docket of the Dy Commr

Settlement by the Survey Supt
Manjeri items which have been
made a separate charge by
Surg and the Contractor
has received the Rs 8 lakhs allowed
He is, under the circs, entitled
to be registered as the Shareholder
of Manjeri and the appeal
of Ramjamma is dismissed

14/11/95

Drafted
SV 16/11

From the records it is abundantly clear that Hanumantayya and his father Venkata Ramanayya were denied the duly-elected Dyavayya the late husband of Pet. Nanjamma was registered as Shanbogue. That Venkata Ramanayya was a daughter's son of Seetha Narayanayya the previous holder of the thing is also apparent from the record. Under these circumstances the Dy Collector was wrong in concluding that the enjoyment of the thing or rather the performance of the duty by Venkata Ramanayya was in recognition of his own title as daughter's son. The Barward seems to have been made in the name of Dyavayya as a matter of convenience but this in no way weaken the title of the Respts to hold & enjoy the village of Maruyere in their own right as agt the Petr. In this

Submitted

In his several petitions to the Taluk and the Sub Orin offices Hanumanthayya (referred to in the draft cover) states that Sankaranarayya was his ~~mother's~~ "mathamaka" (mother's father) In the copy of a statement said to have been prepared in the year Plavanga showing the monies to be payable to Shanbhogs, Venkatarayanayya is mentioned as official Shanbhog of Manigere and son in law (aliya) of Sankaranarayya. In the copy of the Shanbhogi appointment Register said to have been prepared in 1882 Sankaranarayya is mentioned as mathamaka of Venkatarayya a brother of the above named Hanumanthayya.

In extract of correspondence of 1855 mentions Venkatarayanayya as "Sothanaliya" (sister's son) of Sankaranarayya. The papers thus tend to show that Venkatarayanayya

was an "aliya" of
Sankarācāryaya.
"aliya" and "Śāradāliya" are
not however inconsistent with
each other.

HCK 29.11.95

LR 148-95-1 5

A.S. Rolls of 95-96

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

(4)

No. 104

Name of Petitioner. Nagireddi - widow of Venkayya - late Karnam

Village. Nandigama Kandlagunta

Taluk. Nellore Cuddapah Nellore - Kanigiri

District. Nellore

Date of Arzi.

Date of Receipt. 5 - 7 - 95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 1

ABSTRACT.

Appeals against the order passed by Joint Magistrate Kanigiri District in Criminal Appeal No. 81 of 1894-95 - Refusing the Karnamship of Nandigama village - Nandigama Taluk.

The grounds urged are:-

1. That the appellant's husband and two other villagers were bribed by the Karnam's family.

2. That the Karnam had also three villagers to testify in the appellant's favour but they were not examined in Court to help him

Dy. Draft dated 20.6.95 sent to the Collr in the Dept. Letter.

Draft

SLR 5/7.

Reply rec'd 20.6.95

Council case -
Stamp sufficient
Case of time 3 days - no sufficient reason for the delay -
Case for orders -

Appeal dismissed
Memorandum
explaining
reasons
for the order
Send
9/8/95

Engrossed
10/8/95
drafted
Draft
10-8-95

5. [illegible]

6. [illegible]

7 [illegible]

8. [illegible]

[illegible]

Rule 4. Recruits are to be selected

[illegible]

4. Rule 4. Selected Recruits to Depart. The Selected Recruits from each Battalion shall depart from the Battalion Rendezvous for Depot Camp on such days as may be appointed by the Commanding Officer.

5. Rule 5. Duty of Recruiting Officer. The said Recruiting Officer shall keep Recruiting Books, and enter therein the Names, Residence, Trade, Age, Height, Complexion, Eyes, and Hair of every Recruit enlisted by him, and shall transmit a Duplicate thereof to the Commanding Officer at Depot Camp, at the time of the Departure of such Recruit. He shall also forward, [illegible] to the Commanding Officer at Depot Camp, the Attestation Papers of such Recruit, and shall not be allowed any Contingencies.

6. Rule 6. Recruiting Officer shall keep Pay Accounts. The said Recruiting Officer shall keep Pay Accounts of the Recruits enlisted by him, and shall transmit the same, with the Vouchers thereof, to the Commanding Officer at Depot Camp, when required, and shall not be allowed any Contingencies.

7. Rule 7. Recruiting Officer to keep Regimental Books. The said Recruiting Officer shall keep Regimental Books, and shall enter therein the Name, Trade, Place of Birth, Age, Height, Complexion, Eyes, and Hair of every Recruit enlisted by him, and shall transmit Duplicates thereof to the Commanding Officer at Depot Camp, when required.

8. Rule 8. Recruiting Officer to keep Pay Books. The said Recruiting Officer shall keep Pay Books of the Recruits enlisted by him, and shall transmit the same, with the Vouchers thereof, to the Commanding Officer at Depot Camp, when required.

9. Rule 9. Duty of Recruiting Officer on Change of Residence. The said Recruiting Officer shall, on every Change of Residence, immediately report the same to the Commanding Officer at Depot Camp, and state the Reasons thereof.

(1)

109 & 1

No 43 of

5 RK 608 ✓ Draft 20-05-02 10-9-95

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

LR 148-95-2

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition

Registered No. ~~554~~ 109 without date dated ~~14-8-95~~

received at the Dewan's Office from Nanjamma widow of Devigya, Late Shanbhog of Manigere village Malavalli Tk, appealing against the Dy. Comr's order regarding the Shanbhogike Banavadu of Manigere village, Mandya Taluk

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosure~~, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case.

2. The petition ~~with its enclosure~~ should be returned.

C2

6/9/95

By order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,

7 September 1895

7/11

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

(7)

1893

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

To

The Revenue Secretary
to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated 30th October 1895
Despd
Recd Dewan's Office

Encls. Nos

No. 526

Submits record in Revenue Miscellaneous appeal No. 31 of 94-95 on the file of this office connected with the petition of Manjamma widow of Devaya late Shanbhoga of Mainpere in the Mangalore R.

Refer

M.04/1165

5/11

Notice issued
5/11

No. 526

Enclosures No.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated October 30th 1895.
Camp Hunsapur

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
of the Mysore District.

To

The Revenue Secretary to the Govt of Mysore.

SIR.

As required in your official memorandum No 608/Retn dated the 7th September 1895 and reminder No 764/Retn of the 9th October 1895, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire record of revenue miscellaneous appeal No 31 of 1894-95 on the file of this office connected with the petition of Nanjunnaya, widow of Linga late Shanbogue of Manigere in the Manoja taluq regarding the Shanbogi of that village.

2. The petition received with your memo under reply is herewith returned.

Encl. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedt Servt
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

Compd
[illegible]

Gen. 31.]

189

(9)

From

LR 148-95-4

To The Deputy-Commr.
Bijapur District.

Dated 8 Nov 95

Despatched 8 Nov 95

Received

General No. 1st
No. 233

Enclosure No.

His letter No 5-26 dated 30th October 1895, submitting the records connected with the appeal of Ramjanmandir of Devaraya, late Shanbhog of Mangoli in the Gadag Taluk.

With reference to the above has the honour to state that the papers stated in the Commissioner's proceedings attached thereto has not been received with the records, and to

1/13

Request that the same may
be forwarded to this office
at once, as Ranjamundo
appeal stands posted to
14th Inst.

By Order
C
8-11-73
Revenue Secretary

Draft

S.V. 8/11 8/11

Gen. 31

From The Dy Comm: Mysore Dist

To The Rev: Secy to the Govt of Mysore

SR 148-95-5

Dated 12th November 1895.

Despatched

Received

General No. 591
No.

Enclosure No. Nil

Submits the precis connected with the proceedings in Rev: Misc: Appeal No 31 of 1894-95 on the file of this Court.

12/11/95

No. 591/1

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District,
Dated Mysore 12th Nov: 1895.

To,

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

As required in your docket No Pet/933 dated the 8th instant, I have the honor to submit herewith the precis connected with the proceedings in Revenue Miscellaneous appeal No 31 of 1894-95, on the file of this Court, preferred by Ranjana widow of Dwarki, regarding the Shanbhogi of Manigere in the Malvalli Taluk.—

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt servant,

Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

WM

SR 1148-95-b (12)

2 Copies

Proceedings of the Court of Bapare

Read:—

(1) Petition of appeal No 109/95 of 1895-96, presented by Ramanna widow of Devaya late Shanbhog of Dugganhalli and others residing in the Madanalli Taluk, against the order of the Deputy-Commr of Bapare, rejecting Appellants' claim to the Shanbhogi of Bhamajee, Madanalli Taluk and directing the office to be registered in the name of the Respondent Hanumantaiya, on the ground that the office was held by him and his father Venkatramanaiya, though the Patta was in the name of Devaya.

(2) Records received with letter No 5-26 dated 2nd October 1895, from the Deputy-Commr

19.

'Sister's son'

as above

Mysore District.

17

Brain wave. No. 5362 / P.744-95 § 9.12.95 — Inc: 1 Petn & Records
Certified Copies

From the records it is abundantly clear that Hanumantaiya and his father Venkatarāmanaiya were doing the duty ever since Rayappa the late husband of Appellant-Ranjamma was appointed as Shanbhog. That the Venkatarāmanaiya was a daughter's son of Sankaranarayya, the previous holder of the hak is also apparent from the records. Under these circumstances, the Deputy-Commissioner was not wrong in concluding that the enjoyment of the hak, or rather the performance of the duty, by

Seated on

Please see extract
of correspondence of 1933
in the papers.

LM

by Venkatāramanaja Ōr
in recognition of his own
~~in consequence of his~~ ~~else~~
title ~~under the circ~~. The
Barawad seems to have
been made in the name of
Eyā-eiyā as a matter of
convenience, but this
circumstance does not
weaken the title of the
Respondent to hold and
enjoy the village of Manjure
in his own right as against
the Appellant. In the
Settlement by the Survey
Department, Manjure seems
to have been made a
separate charge by itself
and the Respondent has
received the Pōlīgi allowance
He is, under the circumstances,
entitled to be registered

as the Standing of Manager,
and the appeal of
Ranjaman is dismissed.

Draft
C243

9-12-95

Revenue Section

7/12/95

To
The Deputy-Commissioner
Nagpur District with records
and precis.

L.N.
16/11

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(11)

D

109
43

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]